

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,741	01/17/2001	Jerry M. Brooks	M4065.0374/P374	5786	
24998	7590 04/05/2002				
DICKSTEIN	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			EXAMINER	
				CHU, CHRIS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/760,741	BROOKS, JERRY	M.
, marioury monor	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chris C. Chu	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Alexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this apper: (1) a timely filed amendment wopeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	olication. A proper rep which places the application	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration data (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set of expire later than SIX MONTHS from the medium WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of the date on which the petition under 37 eriod of extension and the corresponding ate of the shortened statutory period for reduced to the office later than three months after the	ailing date of the final reject F THE FINAL REJECTION.  OFR 1.136(a) and the app amount of the fee. The appeply originally set in the final	tion See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension I Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or searc	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);	,	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ion in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claim	ıs.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		nsidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim	· · · —	· —	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4 - 22, 24 - 27 and 29 - 31.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>01 N</u> Examiner.	ovember 2001 is a)  approved	or b)⊠ disapproved	by the
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s		
10. Other:		WEDDIE LEE	
		PERVISORY PATENT EXA FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented in pages 3 and 4 of the Response to Final Office action have been carefully reviewed but fail to be persuasive because Fukui et al. discloses the flowable adhesive material in column 9, lines 15 ~ 17.